Decision on Application 2017-662, Elizabeth Lane Mobile Classroom Sunset Revision

DATE: July 3, 2017
FROM: Jay Camp

Since the Public Hearing, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools has revised the site plan and conditional notes based on comments from Council, Planning Board and Staff. The following revisions are included on the revised plan:

1. The site plan has been revised to clearly show only the original mobile classroom boundary and the proposed 2017-2018 boundary.
2. The sunset provision is revised so that it now expires on August 1, 2018. The conditional note is now clearly labeled “Sunset Provision”.
3. A queuing study is now a commitment in the notes.
4. CMS will provide an update to the Board in January 2018.

Proposed Solution
The revisions that have occurred since the Public Hearing have helped to create a more legible site plan and more specific conditional notes. The only change we suggest at this time is to replace the phrase “traffic study” with “queuing study”.
Staff is comfortable recommending approval of the request at this time.

Related Town Goal(s) and/or Strategies:
Quality of Life
Economic Development/Land Use Planning

Recommended Motion/Action:
Approve rezoning 2017-662.
SUGGESTED STATEMENTS OF CONSISTENCY AND REASONABLENESS
Final Decisions on Zoning-Related Issues

ZONING APPLICATION # 2016-652

Matthews Board of Commissioners makes the following 2 conclusions:

1)  X  The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, IS CONSISTENT with the policies for development as outlined by the Matthews Land Use Plan.

   OR

     _____ The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, IS NOT CONSISTENT with the Matthews Land Use Plan and/or other adopted land development policies and plans.

   (A requested zoning can be found “consistent” and not approved, or found to be “not consistent”, but approved.)

2)  X  The requested zoning action IS REASONABLE and in the public interest because:

   (ex., may be appropriate with specific surrounding land uses; has been shown that it will not create significant new traffic beyond area roads’ capacities; creates/increases desirable use in Town.)

   The request allows for the continued usage of additional mobile classrooms on the property and helps ensure that Matthews students continue to attend a local school while CMS determines what the best permanent solution should be.

   OR

     _____ The requested zoning action IS NOT REASONABLE and in the public interest because:

   (Reasons given for a zoning request being “reasonable” or “not reasonable” are not subject to judicial review.)

Decision Date  7/10/17