

Decision on Application 2017-667, CrC to CrC², Multiple Crestdale Owners

DATE: December 5, 2017

FROM: Mary Jo Gollnitz

Planning Board recommended approval of the request at their November 28th meeting. There were no changes to the conditional notes.

Proposed Solution

Planning Board unanimously recommended approval of the request.

Related Town Goal(s) and/or Strategies:

Quality of Life

Recommended Motion/Action:

Approve rezoning application 2017-667 as submitted.

**STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL ADOPTED GROWTH POLICIES
Final Decisions on Zoning-Related Issues**

ZONING APPLICATION # 2017-667

ZONING MOTION # _____

ADMINISTRATIVE AMENDMENT _____

Matthews Board of Commissioners adopts the checked statement below:

A) The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is **approved**, and has been found to be **CONSISTENT** with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), and to be **REASONABLE**, as follows:

CONSISTENT: With the Matthews Land Use Plan and Crestdale Rising report.

REASONABLE: The rezoning will bring the properties into a current zoning classification and is compatible with the surrounding properties.

OR

B) The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is **not approved**, and has been found to be **INCONSISTENT** with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), and **NOT REASONABLE**, as follows:

INCONSISTENT:

NOT REASONABLE:

OR

C) The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is **approved**. This action also **concurrently amends** the Matthews Land Use Plan as specifically outlined below. *(Provide explanation of the change in conditions making the Matthews Land Use Plan inconsistent to meet the development needs of the community, and include reference to specific text in Plan document):*

AMENDMENT TO LAND USE PLAN:

REASONABLE:

(Statement must explain why the Board deems the action reasonable and in the public interest (more than one sentence). Reasons given for a zoning request being "consistent" or "not consistent" are not subject to judicial review.)

Date 12/11/2017

