Agenda Item:
Decision on Application 2018-693, CrC to CrC², Multiple Crestdale Owners

DATE: January 9, 2019
FROM: Mary Jo Gollnitz, Planner

Background/Issue:
Planning Board recommended approval of the request at their January 8, 2019 meeting. There were no changes to the application.

Proposal/Solution:
Planning Board unanimously recommended approval of the request.

Related Town Goal(s) and/or Strategies:
Quality of Life
Economic Development/Land Use Planning

Recommended Motion/Action:
Approve rezoning application 2018-693 as submitted.
STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL ADOPTED GROWTH POLICIES
Final Decisions on Zoning-Related Issues

ZONING APPLICATION # 2018-693
ZONING MOTION # __________________________________________
ADMINISTRATIVE AMENDMENT _______________________________

Matthews Board of Commissioners adopts the checked statement below:

A) ___X__ The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is approved, and has been found to be CONSISTENT with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), and to be REASONABLE, as follows:

CONSISTENT: with Matthews Land Use Plan in that it encourages a mix of land uses in appropriate and well-planned locations. It provides a range of housing styles, protects and preserves the character of the Crestdale neighborhood.

REASONABLE: the rezoning will remove the properties from the special use permits requirements no longer available in Matthews and bring the properties into a current zoning classification compatible with the surrounding properties.

OR

C) _X ___ The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is not approved, although it has been found to be CONSISTENT with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), it is NOT REASONABLE, as follows:

CONSISTENT: with Matthews Land Use Plan in that it encourages a mix of land uses in appropriate and well-planned locations. It provides a range of housing styles, protects and preserves the character of the Crestdale neighborhood.

NOT REASONABLE: The properties already have a zoning district assigned to them.

(In each case, the Statement must explain why the Board deems the action reasonable and in the public interest (more than one sentence). Reasons given for a zoning request being “consistent” or “not consistent” are not subject to judicial review.)

Date January 14, 2019