

**Agenda Item:**  
**Decision on Application 2018-693, CrC to CrC<sup>2</sup>, Multiple Crestdale Owners**

**DATE:** January 9, 2019  
**FROM:** Mary Jo Gollnitz, Planner

**Background/Issue:**

Planning Board recommended approval of the request at their January 8, 2019 meeting. There were no changes to the application.

**Proposal/Solution:**

Planning Board unanimously recommended approval of the request.

**Related Town Goal(s) and/or Strategies:**

Quality of Life  
Economic Development/Land Use Planning

**Recommended Motion/Action:**

Approve rezoning application 2018-693 as submitted.

**DRAFT**

**STATEMENT OF CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL ADOPTED GROWTH POLICIES  
Final Decisions on Zoning-Related Issues**

**ZONING APPLICATION #** 2018-693

**ZONING MOTION #** \_\_\_\_\_

**ADMINISTRATIVE AMENDMENT** \_\_\_\_\_

**Matthews Board of Commissioners adopts the checked statement below:**

A)  The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is **approved**, and has been found to be **CONSISTENT** with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), and to be **REASONABLE**, as follows:

**CONSISTENT:** with Matthews Land Use Plan in that it encourages a mix of land uses in appropriate and well-planned locations. It provides a range of housing styles, protects and preserves the character of the Crestdale neighborhood.

---

**REASONABLE:** the rezoning will remove the properties from the special use permits requirements no longer available in Matthews and bring the properties into a current zoning classification compatible with the surrounding properties.

---

**OR**

C)  The requested zoning action, as most currently amended, is **not approved**, although it has been found to be **CONSISTENT** with the Matthews Land Use Plan (or other document(s)), it is **NOT REASONABLE**, as follows:

**CONSISTENT:** with Matthews Land Use Plan in that it encourages a mix of land uses in appropriate and well-planned locations. It provides a range of housing styles, protects and preserves the character of the Crestdale neighborhood.

---

**NOT REASONABLE:** The properties already have a zoning district assigned to them.

---

*(In each case, the Statement must explain why the Board deems the action reasonable and in the public interest (more than one sentence). Reasons given for a zoning request being "consistent" or "not consistent" are not subject to judicial review.)*

Date January 14, 2019